



STANFORD
SCHOOL OF EARTH SCIENCES

ETLA
THE RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE FINNISH ECONOMY



WIPRO
Applying Thought



SOCIAL MEDIA AND THE FUTURE OF BUSINESS

May 6, 2011



Section 1 Introduction

Social media refers to the information and communication technology platforms designed for real-time social interaction, such as wikis, discussion forums and blogs. These platforms are available on public sites such as Facebook and private sites such as Jive and Yammer. Some sites are more specialized in their objectives than others, eg., LinkedIn's objective is to enable professionals to create and share profiles and to enable HR professionals to interact with them, while Facebook's objective is to enable information creation and interaction for more general audiences. Hence, Facebook uses a large number of platforms, such as instant messaging, event planning and image-uploading. In general, more narrow objectives usually result in the use of fewer platforms: for instance, Twitter only contains a microblog platform and YouTube contains platforms for image sharing and comments, while enterprise social network Yammer contains wikis, discussion forums, blogs, text and multi-media content sharing and more.

The objective of this paper is to discover the social media platforms (SMPs) that are economically relevant to a business organization, the uses to which these platforms are put, and the reasons for their value.

Our approach is as follows. Given that social media generates information, we assume that the difference between social media platforms arises from just two factors: (a) The extent of control that may be exercised over the information flow, and, (b) The extent of collaboration on information flows possible among participants on the platform.

Our hypothesis is derived from the literature on strong and weak ties. We use the hypothesis to analyze which social media platforms are likely to generate information that is useful for various purposes.

Using a primary survey of 20 firms, we examine their use of social media for various business purposes and ask whether these uses match the theoretical conclusions. Some of the potentially useful business outcomes from social media include hiring and professional development, strategic planning, business development and marketing, project management, strategic planning, project ideation, product development and developing intellectual property, and sales of goods.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 derives the hypothesis, discusses the informational aspects of different social media platforms and relates these to their potential for influencing different types of business outcomes. Section 3 presents the findings of the survey and discusses its relation to the hypothesis. Section 4 provides a concluding discussion.

This leads to our hypothesis:

A social media platform can be economically valuable to a business organization only if it generates information that either improves the reliability of existing information, or is new information.

¹ Sites are defined as websites, i.e., locations on the web. Platforms are media that are available on sites. For example, a site such as Yammer contains discussion forums, wikis and other platforms.

² Most social media sites begin with a single platform and, over time, proceed to offer multiple platforms for interaction, with some convergence. In addition, many non-social media sites contain elements of social media. For instance, a learning management system such as Moodle offers a place to store and exchange content, manage research projects and participate in discussion forums. Hence, classifying sites by specific platforms, as some recent research does, is perhaps less relevant as of 2011. See, for example, A. Kaplan and M. Haenlein. 2010. Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of Social Media. *Business Horizons*. 53. 59-68. Kaplan and Haenlein classify social media in two ways: the richness of their media capabilities and the control of information they offer.

Section 2 The Informational Value of Social Media Platforms

Taking the perspective that information is economically relevant to a business organization if it affects reliability or is new, we pose the question: are social media platforms valuable because they offer some mixture of reliability-increasing and new information?

To analyze this, we assume the existence of two fundamental differentiations between social media platforms that affect reliability and newness: (1) The extent of control that may be exercised over the information flow. (2) The extent of collaboration possible among participants on that platform.

(1) The extent of control that may be exercised over the information flow may be important for some business purposes (Kaplan and Haenlein, 2009). For example, a microblog on Twitter or an advertisement on YouTube allows for significant control of information by its creator. Once disseminated, the content may not be altered by anyone else. By comparison, a wiki enables new information to be entered and old information to be altered without control.

A high level of information control is commercially important for some purposes. For example, an advertiser might want to present its message without allowing other participants to tweak the message. A domain expert might, similarly, want to present her ideas in a format that allows readers to comment on, but not change the message.

However, for other purposes, such as project ideation or work that requires innovative thinking, controlling the information may lead to inferior outcomes. Instead, a platform with less potential for any one participant to control information, such as a discussion forum or a project management platform, ought to be more useful.

(2) The extent of collaboration among participants on that platform. An event-planning platform is an example of a platform that enables a high level of collaboration among its participants. Participants can suggest ideas for events, poll members, divide the planning and the other work, invite guests and so on.

A collaborative platform takes the SMP to a different level, from merely enabling the sharing of information among participants to shaping content through collaboration.

A high level of collaboration is commercially important for some purposes, such as event planning and project management.

Note that information control and collaboration may sometimes move in different directions. A blog is a platform with a high level of information control and low scope for collaboration. Event planning is a highly collaborative activity with low levels of information control. Thus, these are examples of SMPs in which information control and collaboration are inversely related.

However, information control and collaboration may also move in the same direction. Consider a professional profile platform such as found on the website, Linked-In. The platform enables its owner to allow her contacts to recommend her for some work done in an assignment. The level of information control exercised by the owner is low. Participants upload their recommendations, but do not collaborate with each other. Thus, the platform on Linked-In is an example of a platform with low information control and low levels of collaboration.

By contrast, consider a discussion forum in which the participants are students enrolled for a class and discuss a topic provided by the moderator. Suppose that the moderator approves every entry prior to allowing its posting to ensure that the content is relevant. This is an example of a platform with a high level of collaboration and high level of information control.

The combination of control and collaboration enables different platforms to generate different kinds of information. To analyze the usefulness of such information, we turn to the literature on ties. Granovetter (1973) makes a distinction between strong and weak ties. Strong ties are those which embody high levels of trust, and are usually the outcome of investment in time, intimacy, reciprocity and intensity in building the tie. Such ties appear to be important in social media, too. Gilbert and Karahalios (2009) show that social media interactions tend to confirm that the stronger the a priori tie between persons, the higher the investment in building up the connection.

³ E. Gilbert and K. Karahalios. 2009. Predicting Tie Strength with Social Media. Proceeding sof the CHI Conference. <http://www.chi2009.org/> accessed March 23, 2011

A third way is when the participants in the platform share strong ties. Given their ties, they are unlikely to misrepresent the reliability of information shared. This makes the resulting information more reliable. For instance, a strategic planning group of strongly tied employees may use a wiki to develop their strategic plan. Note that the benefit of strong ties arises regardless of the level of information control. Instead, reliability is a feature of the pre-existing relationships between collaborators.

Turning to newness, we may similarly ask how the newness of information is related to the level of information control and collaboration. This, too, can happen in more than one way through social media platforms. The first is when such platforms are accessible by many participants. Each participant provides her own ideas, some of which adds new information to the existing pool of information. A typical example is a discussion forum that enables anyone to provide ideas. Despite the risk that such platforms will contain sufficiently useless information to make the information generated useless as a result, in some cases, this may work out. Starbucks, for example, claims that a program to seek customer recommendations on its Facebook page incorporating the 'Like' feature led to recommendations that were used to create seasonal flavors in 4Q2010 and that increased sales by 30%. Another example: Linked-In offers a platform that enables professional profiles to be discoverable by recruiters using recommendations and searching job tags. Note that newness here is driven by adding new participants to the pool of contributors and keeping information controls low. There need be no collaboration between contributors necessary to bring in new information. This is purely the effect of adding participants.

Creating a collaborative platform of users with weak ties is a second way to generate new information. The communities platform offered by Yammer is an example of a platform that enables large-firm employees to work with vendors to resolve supply-chain related operating problems, by posting recommendations on what is, in effect, a 'virtual whiteboard'.

Unlike the previous case, where new information arose from contributors who may not need to know each other at the time of posting the information and may never collaborate, in the case of creating a collaborative platform of weak-tie participants, the intent is to collaborate to create outcomes. Each participant is expected to add new information by virtue of the weak tie. Collaboration for processing the information is expected to, in turn, generate further new information. Thus, this way of generating new information is through weak-tie collaboration.



The sources of benefits of social media are summarized in the following table.

Table 1. The Value of Social Media

Reason	Accessing Reliable Information			Accessing New Information	
	Top-down Governance	Pooled Governance	Strong-tie Participation	Weak-tie Participation	Weak-tie Collaboration
Example	CEO's Blog to Staff	Wikipedia, Discussion Forum	Event Planner	Facebook Like, Linked-In Profile	Yammer Community
Info. Control/ Collaboration	High/Low	Low/High	Low/High	Low/High	Low/High

Turning now to the different corporate uses, as the above table indicates, different uses will map on to different social media platforms. This is illustrated in the table below.

Table 2. Corporate Functions and Typical Social Media Platform

	Example of SM Platform	Informational Advantage
Human Resources:		
Recruitment - sourcing Job recommendations	Linked-In Messages	Newness due to weak-tie participation Reliability due to top-down governance
Projects:		
Building project teams	Discussion Forum	Newness due to weak-tie participation
Project management	Private Wiki	Reliability due to pooled governance; newness due to weak-tie collaboration
Problem solving	Private Wiki	Reliability due to pooled governance; newness due to weak-tie collaboration
Ideation	Virtual Whiteboard	Newness due to weak-tie participation
Strategic planning		
Supply-chain Mgmt	Private Wiki Yammer Community	Reliability due to strong-tie participation Reliability due to pooled governance; newness due to weak-tie collaboration
Marketing & BusDev		
Promotion/Awareness	Blog, YouTube	Reliability due to top-down governance
Competition Analysis	Facebook Ads	Newness due to weak-tie participation
Alliance Building	Professional Association Forums	Reliability due to top-down governance; newness due to weak-tie participation

Section 3 Data Analysis

Data was collected from 20 Silicon Valley based firms between December 2010 and March 2011. With the exception of 2 firms, all are technology firms. The data was collected through a questionnaire and, usually, a telephone or face-to-face interview. Sample selection was not random, but was based on the researcher's contacts. Hence, it is a potentially biased sample and its findings should, therefore, be viewed as tentative.

The questionnaire asked firms to comment on the purposes for which different social media platforms were used and whether the results matched expectations. We asked respondents to distinguish between internal (or

private) and external SMPs. An internal SMP (ISMP) is accessible only by the firm's employees and, in some cases, registered vendors. An external SMP (ESMP) is, of course, intended to be more widely accessible.

The reasons for making this distinction are the different levels of access. ISMP participants will usually be linked by at least weak ties, whereas those participating in ESMPs may include those without prior ties. As shown in Table 2, this enables the generation of more reliable information. Further, the information exchanged over an ISMP can more easily be kept confidential. Therefore, ISMPs will presumably be used to process such information, for

⁷ The maintenance of the internal SMP may be outsourced or the SMP may be provided and maintained by an external provider, of course. In practice, this was widely done.

instance, using a discussion forum for project management or strategic planning. A similar platform in the public domain is more likely to be used to obtain consumers' views on the firm's products, for example. Thus, differences in the access to a platform in the internal and external domains.

In our survey, we found that about a quarter of the companies surveyed do not want their employees to spend too much time on external social media as these platforms

might be used for personal purposes, such as job-hunting. However, they are more willing to let employees use similar ISMPs. Hence, if both ESMPs and ISMPs can be put to the same use, such firms prefer ISMPs.

Table 3 summarizes the firms' profile. As indicated earlier, most firms are in the information and communications technology space. The sample is evenly distributed among firms of different sizes, as measured by number of employees and revenue (columns 1 and 3 below).

Table 3: Profile of firms surveyed:

# of Employees in Sampled Firm	Sector	Annual Revenue of Sampled Firm	Official SM Policy Exists?
0-50 – 25% (Small)	Software/ Services – 70%	<\$10m – 30%	Small firm = 0%
51-200 – 35% (Medium)	ICT Hardware – 15%	\$10-100m – 30%	Medium firm = 15%
5001+ - 40% (Large)	Other – 15%	>\$500 m – 40%	Large firm = 70%

As the above table shows, primarily, it is the larger firms that articulate a formal social media policy. As an example, see: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/33461366/Cisco-Social-Media-Policy-Guidelines-and-FAQs>. The typical social media policy asks employees to always identify themselves when making comments as employees and indicate whether they are authorized to speak on behalf of their firm or are speaking on their own behalf.

Like most social media users, firms use a variety of platforms. Our survey indicated that the social media platforms most used for both internal and external business functions are forums, blogs/pre-authored content (including multimedia content such as advertising) and wikis. Hence, we shall focus on these platforms in the analysis below.

We first discuss internal social media platforms. Table 4 provides information on the usage of internal social media.

Table 4: Usage of internal social media platforms (ISMPs)

ISMP exists?	Usefulness of ISMP(Rank)	Overall impact of ISMP
Yes, inhouse = 35%	Forums = 3	Significantly positive = 54%
Yes, outsourced = 40%	Pre-authored content = 3.2	Moderately positive = 31%
No = 55%	Wikis = 1.84	Neutral = 15%

Note: the rank in column 2 is an average rank. Firms were asked to provide a rank between 1 and 5, where 1 indicates the highest value and 5 the lowest. The rank of 3 indicates a neutral rank. This ranking system is used in subsequent tables, as well.

A little over half the firms sampled do not use an ISMP (Column 1 above). The larger firms are the primary users of ISMPs and most of them use both inhouse as well as outsourced providers. Of course, for most startups, an ISMP is arguably not needed since the employees all sit close to each other and can interact face-to-face rather than over, say, Facebook. 40% of the sampled firms use an outsourced provider. Among outsourced providers, Yammer is the most popular site.

The average number of hours per week spent per employee on ISMPs is 3.5 hours. Most firms that deploy ISMPs stated that there was no adverse effect on productiv-

ity of employees. 85% of respondents felt that internal social media had a positive overall impact on the firm's operations.

Among ISMPs, the above table shows that the most useful is the wiki. Both blogs and other authored content, and discussion forums averaged ranks worse than neutral. This suggests that the primary value of internal social media is for project management and planning, rather than internal recruitment, recommendations, awareness or ideation.

The following table provides the findings from the survey with regard to the benefits of internal social media.

Table 5: The Benefits of ISMPs

Economic Benefits of ISMPs	Expected value of ISMPs (Rank)	Gains from ISMPs (Rank)	Which ISMPs were most useful (Correlation with Column 1)		
			Forums	Pre-authored Content (Blogs, Multi-media)	Wikis
Human Resources mgmt:	4.2	3.8	27	28	NA
Promoting employee awareness	NA	2.8	31	80	42
Projects:					
Building project teams	3.0	2.7	24	42	46
Project management	2.2	2.3	16	51	51
Problem solving	2.8	2.6	26	50	32
Project Ideation	2.4	NA	NA	NA	NA
Strategic planning	3.5	3.1	37	60	NA
Supply-chain Mgmt	4.0	3.8	12	25	NA

The first column in Table 5 shows the list of corporate functions that we asked respondents to discuss with regard to the usefulness of internal social media. Respondents indicated that the highest gain was expected for project management, project ideation and problem solving. This is

shown in Column 2. However, strategic planning, supply-chain management, building project teams and human resources management were all ranked at neutral or of low expected value.

⁸ There may be other differences between large and small firms in the value of different types of social media. A large manufacturer of IT products such as HP may use social media primarily to reinforce awareness of their product line. For such a firm, the value of YouTube is probably evident. However, for a small, competing IT firm, it may be equally important to participate in industry forums and associations (including social media platforms) to build their supply-chain credibility through the improved governance benefits offered by the industry platform.

Column 3 of Table 5 discusses the actual gain. Interestingly, actual gains were almost uniformly better than expected. However, only promoting employee awareness and project work (team-formation, management and problem-solving) exceeded the neutrality threshold.

Columns 4-6 show the correlation between platforms and actual gains. Consistent with our theoretical discussion, pre-authored content was most valuable for building awareness. More surprisingly, such content, particularly blogs, were found to be valuable for a range of uses. In this regard, some respondents told us that the microblog, Twitter, was a useful site for project management and problem

solving. Our findings show that the wiki is a useful platform for creating project teams, project management and problem-solving.

Turning now to external social media platforms, the following table provides a profile of their use. The average number of hours per employee per week using external social media sites is 3.5 hours, the same as for internal social media. Most firms that use external social media sites felt that there was no adverse effect on productivity of employees. 95% of respondents felt that external social media had a positive overall impact on the firm's operations (Column 5 below).

Table 6: Usage of external social media platforms (ESMPs)

Employee access to ESMP	Company use of ESMP	Usefulness of ESMP (Rank)	Top 3 ESMP sites used by the firm	Overall impact of ESMP
Unlimited = 72%	Yes = 90%	Forums = 2.7	Facebook	Significantly positive = 50%
Limited by time or for company's work = 28%	No = 10%	Pre-authored content = 2.2	Twitter	Moderately positive = 45%
		Wikis = 3.5	Linked-In	Neutral = 5%

All the sampled firms allow their employees to use external social media sites. Most firms do not restrict their employees. The respondents referred to the relatively modest use of such sites as evidence (as noted above, 3.5 hours per week by the average employee) that such open access is not misused. Almost all the sampled firms (90%) use external social media for their business. The most valuable platform is pre-authored content, followed by forums and wikis. Most firms use multiple sites. Facebook is the most popular, followed by Twitter and Linked-In. While the use of content communities such as YouTube is of less importance, blogs are an important platform within the above sites. This suggests, based on our discussion in Section 2 (see Table 2), that the primary use of ESMP is for building awareness of the firm.

The following table provides the findings from the survey with regard to the benefits of external social media.

The first column in Table 7 shows the list of corporate functions that we asked respondents to discuss with regard to the usefulness of external social media. Respondents indicated that the highest gain was expected for promoting a good or service, or building awareness. Using social media to scan the competition and analyze their

approaches to product development was the second highest targeted gain. These findings are shown in Column 2. However, building strategic alliances, supply-chain management and human resources management were all ranked at neutral or of low expected value.

Column 3 of Table 7 presents the actual gain. The highest rank went to promotion and awareness building, in line with its expected benefits. Using external social media for human resources management delivered gains that were above the threshold of neutrality. In our interviews, respondents noted that social media was useful for obtaining a fuller picture of an applicant's background, obtaining recommendations and searching for the right fit.

Columns 4-6 show the correlation between platforms and actual gains. Consistent with our theoretical discussion, and similar to the case of internal social media, pre-authored content was most valuable for building awareness.

Comparing internal and external social media, we find that firms are more likely to use external than ISMPs. 55% of the firms surveyed do not use any internal social media, while only 10% do not use external social media. Our conversations with respondents indicated that this difference was

because external social media was accepted as a way of building awareness about the firm's goods and services. It may also, as we noted earlier, be a firm size effect.

While awareness (among employees and the public) is a common goal of internal and external social media platform usage, and pre-authored content is the most valuable social media platform, the wiki is the most important ISMP, used for building project teams, project management and problem solving.

The finding that for both media types, blogs and other pre-authored content are important is interesting. Such

platforms are the least collaborative of all the platforms we have studied. They are valuable to the corporation because they enable the corporate to offer authoritative (reliable) information. Such information can be delivered in many ways that do not involve social media platforms, eg., an emailed newsletter in place of a blog. It is likely that what makes a blog valuable is the presence of social media platforms that accompany the blog, like discussion forums, or opportunities to tweet or 'like' the blog, that provide more value than just an emailed newsletter. If so, this suggests that sites that offer multiple, complementary platforms are likely to be more valuable than sites that offer fewer or non-complementary platforms.

Table 7: The Benefits of ESMPs

Economic Benefits of External SM	Expected value of external SM (Rank)	Gains from external SM (Rank)	Which external SM platforms were most useful*		
			Forums	Authored Content (Blogs, Multi-media)	Wikis
Human Resources mgmt:	3.0	2.7	10	NA	12
Marketing & BusDev					
Promotion/Awareness	3.0	2.7	10	NA	12
Competition Analysis	2.6	3.4	NA	7	43
Strategic Alliances	3.3	3.2	NA	42	35
Supply-chain Mgmt	3.1	3.6	NA	NA	10

What does the above analysis allow us to conclude about the hypothesis presented in the introduction? The hypothesis stated that:

A social media platform can be economically valuable to a business organization only if it generates information that either improves the reliability of existing information, or is new information.

The survey showed that two types of SMPs were valuable: (1) Pre-authored content carriers, whose value arose from their reliability and the ability to receive feedback (new

information) in a non-collaborative form. This was the case for both ISMPs and ESMPs. (2) ISMPs for collaboration among participants with prior weak or strong ties, where the platform enabled the generation of new information with relatively high levels of reliability.

On the other hand, SMPs that enabled the exchange of already-trusted information among strong-tie participants, for instance, for strategic planning over ISMPs, were not found to be useful. Secondly, SMPs that offer to improve the reliability of information through pooled governance were not observed to be of value, perhaps because the effect on reliability is not enough. More new information through non-collaborative, weak-tie participation was also not observed to be of value, such as supply-chain management over ESMPs. These findings suggest that without an informational component, the SMP is not useful, i.e., the ease of use enabled by technology is not (yet) sufficient to

create a useful SMP.

These findings offer support for our hypothesis. Our findings thus also indicate the limited uses of SMPs for business uses. This may reflect the state of technology or other factors that need further exploration.

Section 4 Concluding Discussion

The objective of this paper was to identify the social media platforms that are economically relevant to a business organization, to discover the specific uses to which these platforms are put, and the reasons for their value. Beginning with the perspective that social media platforms are valuable because they offer some mixture of reliable and new information, we first argued that the value of a platform would be determined by the extent of control that may be exercised over the information flow and the extent of collaboration possible among participants on that platform. It was then argued, in a theoretical context, that reliable information could be generated through top-down governance of the flow of information, through pooled governance of open access to information, and by restricting information flow to persons with strong ties. Likewise, we showed that new information could be accessed by unrestricted access to the platform, and by enabling collaboration between persons with weak ties. From this theoretical discussion we were able to match different corporate objectives to ideal social media platforms.

This led to our hypothesis:

A social media platform can be economically valuable to a business organization only if it generates information that either improves the reliability of existing information, or is new information.

To validate the hypothesis, we surveyed 20 firms in Silicon Valley. We examined their use of social media for various business purposes and asked whether these uses match the theoretical conclusions. The potentially useful business outcomes from social media that were surveyed included hiring and professional development, strategic planning, business development and marketing, project management, strategic planning, project ideation, product development and developing intellectual property, and sales of goods.

We found that firms use a variety of platforms, both internal to the firm as well as public (external) social media platforms. Our survey indicated that the social media platforms most used for both internal and external business functions are forums, blogs/pre-authored content (including multimedia content such as advertising) and wikis.

Our survey indicated that both internal and external social media platforms were viewed as positive contributions to a firm's business. About a quarter of the firms were concerned of overuse or misuse by employees, and restricted the use of external social media.

Internal social media was primarily used by larger firms. For internal social media, the most valuable platforms are the wiki and pre-authored content. The wiki is used primarily for project team building, project management and problem solving. Pre-authored content, particularly in-company blogs, was important as it directly impacted employee awareness. Other business functions, such as in-house recruitment, supply-chain management and project ideation did not benefit from internal social media, although firms, on average, think that there is potential for the use of internal social media in these areas.

Turning to external social media, we found that it is more widely used for business than internal social media. The most popular sites are, in order, Facebook, Twitter and Linked-In. Firms do not restrict employee access to external social media, although about a fourth of the firms sampled restrict the time that may be spent or impose restrictions on the purposes to which that time is put.

For external social media, the most valuable platform is the pre-authored content platform (blogs, videos) and it is used primarily for building awareness and promoting the firm's products and services. Recruitment was also found to benefit from external social media. Other outward facing business functions, such as understanding competition, supply-chain management and building strategic alliances did not benefit from external social media.

Comparing internal and external social media, we find that firms are more likely to use ESMPs than ISMPs. 55% of the firms surveyed do not use any internal social media, while only 10% do not use external social media. Our conversations with respondents indicated that this difference was because external social media was accepted as a way of building awareness about the firm's goods and services. It may also, as we noted earlier, be a firm size effect.

While awareness (among employees and the public) is a common goal of the usage of internal and external social media platforms, and pre-authored content the most valuable social media platform, the wiki is also an important ISMP, used for building project teams, project management and problem solving.

The finding that for both media types, blogs and other pre-authored content are important is interesting. Such



platforms are the least collaborative of all the platforms we have studied. They are valuable to the corporation because they offer authoritative information. Such information can be delivered in many ways that do not involve social media platforms, eg., an emailed newsletter in place of a blog. It is likely that what makes a blog valuable is the presence of social media platforms that accompany the blog, like discussion forums, or opportunities to tweet or 'like' the blog, that provide more value than just an emailed newsletter. If so, this suggests that sites that offer multiple, complementary platforms are likely to be more valuable than sites that offer fewer or non-complementary platforms.

In summary, the survey showed that two types of SMPs were valuable: (1) Pre-authored content carriers, whose value arose from its reliability and the ability to receive feedback (new information) in a non-collaborative form. This was the case for both ISMPs and ESMPs. (2) ISMPs for collaboration among participants with prior weak or strong ties, where the platform enabled the generation of new information with relative high levels of reliability.

On the other hand, SMPs that did not generate: (1) More reliable information, such as SMPs used for collaboration between strong-tie participants, for instance, for strategic planning over ISMPs were not found to be useful. Similarly, SMPs that offer to improve the reliability of information through pooled governance were not observed to be of value. (2) More new information through non-collaborative, weak-tie participation were also not observed to be of value, such as supply-chain management over ESMPs.

Thus, these findings offer support for the hypothesis. Our findings thus also indicate the limited uses of SMPs for business uses. This may reflect the state of technology or other factors that need further exploration.

It is clear, though, that the growth in Social Media usage is making demands on technology. The rapid progress of technology that is evident will make the social media experience increasingly valuable with time. Mobile phones, tablets, television sets, gaming devices and vending

machines are being integrated with social media. The creation of new touch points will extend the reach of SMPs as well as drive their simplification.

Simplicity of use is likely to increase the already-existing challenges of trust, intimacy and reciprocity of ties. However, organizations will continue to embrace Social Media more tightly as technology around it matures. Social Media's impact on the future of business will be felt enterprise-wide, offering fresh opportunities for business transformation.

The enhanced ability of SMPs to generate information that improves the reliability of existing information, or is new information, is being supplemented by technological improvements in real-time data monitoring and data analytics.

Automated systems are increasingly being used to monitor, capture and analyze activity on voice and data networks. These systems will help measure loyalty and emotions, predict events, forecast consumer needs, deliver pro-active customer support and improve the ability of business to build more reliable communities, launch influencer programs, and develop deeper knowledge banks.

For businesses, SMPs hold the promise of bringing them closer to end-customers, employees, vendors and suppliers through a strengthening of collaboration and the sharing of information.

The implications of these changes to the future of businesses are varied. Businesses will integrate both ISMPs and ESMPs into their enterprise management and control systems. Product, service and facility managers will need to create response systems that loop their decisions (new information) back into the SMPs, forcing them to rethink their behavior and become more customer-centric. Businesses that have thus far been hesitant/ reluctant will have to evaluate the merits of allowing greater Social Media access to their employees.

About the Authors:

Rafiq Dossani is a senior research scholar at Stanford University's Shorenstein Asia-Pacific Research Center (Shorenstein APARC) and erstwhile director of the Stanford Center for South Asia. His research interests include South Asian security, government, and business. He is currently undertaking projects on regional integration, innovation in outsourcing, engineering education, access to capital, and entrepreneurship in information technology in the South Asian subcontinent. His most recent book is *Does South Asia Exist?*, published in 2010 by Shorenstein APARC. Earlier books include *India Arriving*, published in 2007 by AMACOM Books/American Management Association (reprinted in India by McGraw-Hill, 2008, and in China by Oriental Publishing House, 2009); *Prospects for Peace in South Asia* (co-edited with Henry Rowen), published in 2005 by Stanford University Press; and *Telecommunications Reform in India*, published in 2002 by Greenwood Press.

Dossani currently chairs FOCUS USA, a non-profit organization that supports emergency relief in the developing world. Between 2004 and 2010, he was a trustee of Hidden Villa, a non-profit educational organization in the Bay Area.

Dossani earlier worked for the Robert Fleming Investment Banking group, first as CEO of its India operations and later as head of its San Francisco operations. He has also been the chairman and CEO of a stockbroking firm on the OTCEI stock exchange in India, the deputy editor of *Business India Weekly*, and a professor of finance at Pennsylvania State University. He holds a BA in economics from St. Stephen's College, New Delhi, India; an MBA from the Indian Institute of Management, Calcutta, India; and a PhD in finance from Northwestern University.

Saurabh Mittal heads the Consumer Experience consulting practice globally and is a senior leader of Business Advisory Services at Wipro. He has extensive industry and management consulting experience in Americas, Europe and Asia working with Fortune 500 clients in Retail and Consumer Products. He is a recognized industry thought leader and has worked extensively with senior executives in defining strategic solutions and implementing improved business processes. He has led cross functional, cross-cultural teams to deliver large scale consulting assignments in the area of ecommerce and multi channel retail. He is a sought after speaker on topics related to Customer Experience, Digital Commerce and Social Media, topics on which he has authored and presented widely.

Saurabh was running a digital venture before joining Wipro and groomed himself as a consultant at Kurt Salmon Associates. He has an MBA from University of Oxford's business school, where he was a British Chevening scholar, and an engineering degree from Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Delhi. He is based out of New York.

About Wipro Council for Industry Research

Wipro set up the Council for Industry Research, comprising of domain and technology experts from the organization, to address the needs of customers. It specifically looks at innovative strategies that will help them gain competitive advantage in the market. The Council in collaboration with leading academic institutions and industry bodies studies market trends to equip organizations with insights that facilitate their IT and business strategies. For more information on the Research Council visit www.wipro.com/industryresearch or email industry.research@wipro.com

About Wipro Technologies

Wipro Technologies, the global IT business of Wipro Limited (NYSE:WIT) is a leading Information Technology, Consulting and Outsourcing company, that delivers solutions to enable its clients do business better. Wipro Technologies delivers winning business outcomes through its deep industry experience and a 360 degree view of "Business through Technology" – helping clients create successful and adaptive businesses. A company recognised globally for its comprehensive portfolio of services, a practitioner's approach to delivering innovation and an organization wide commitment to sustainability, Wipro Technologies has 120,000 employees and clients across 54 countries.

For more information, please visit www.wipro.com



DO BUSINESS BETTER

www.wipro.com NYSE:WIT | OVER 120,000 EMPLOYEES | 54 COUNTRIES | CONSULTING | SYSTEM INTEGRATION | OUTSOURCING

Wipro Technologies, Doddakannelli, Sarjapur Road, Bangalore - 560 035, India. Email: info@wipro.com, Tel: +91 (80) 2844 0011, Fax: +91 (80) 2844 0256
North America Canada Germany Switzerland Austria Finland Portugal Japan Singapore South America United Kingdom France Poland Sweden Benelux Romania Philippines Malaysia Australia China South Korea New Zealand